

# **Newborn Screening for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Phase 2 Update**

January 29, 2024

# Evidence Review Group (ERG)

<b>Member Name</b>	<b>Affiliation / Role</b>
Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS	Nationwide Children's Hospital
Katie P. DiCostanzo, BA	Nationwide Children's Hospital
Margie Ream, MD, PhD	Nationwide Children's Hospital
Jelili Ojodu, MPH	APHL
Elizabeth Jones, MPH	APHL
K.K. Lam, PhD	Duke University
Lisa Prosser, PhD	University of Michigan
Angela Rose, MS, MPH	University of Michigan
Scott Grosse, PhD	CDC
Anne Comeau, PhD	UMass Chan Medical School
Susan Tanksley, PhD	Texas Department of State Health Services
M. Christine Dorley, PhD	Committee Liaison
Chanika Phorphutkul, MD	Committee Liaison

# Technical Expert Panel Members

<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>
Niki Armstrong, MS, CGC*	Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy
David Birnkrant, MD	Case Western Reserve University
Anne M. Connolly, MD	Nationwide Children's Hospital
John W. Day, MD, PhD	Stanford University
Evrin Atas, PhD	Muscular Dystrophy Association
Katerina Kucera, PhD	Research Triangle Institute
Richard Parad, MD, MPH	Brigham and Women's Hospital
Norma P. Tavakoli, PhD	New York State Department of Health
Rachel Lee, PhD	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

\*Nominator

# Update on Activities

# Activities

- TEP Call 1: October 27, 2023
- TEP Call 2: Plan for Feb or March
- Literature Review: In Progress
- Public Health System Impact Assessment
  - Webinar held on January 17, 2024
  - Survey open for the next month
  - Key informant interviews during this period
- Decision-Analytic Modeling
  - Will be the focus of TEP 2, to be convened in February
- Final presentation at the next meeting of the ACHDNC

# Update on Screening Activity

# Screening Update

- States with legislation for DMD newborn screening
  - Ohio
  - New York
- In addition
  - Minnesota: DMD newborn screening approved by the state's advisory committee, now pending final approval by the State Commissioner
  - Arizona and Illinois: Legislation introduced

# Treatment



# Treatment

- Main outcome – mean change in dystrophin
- Each received accelerated approval by the FDA

Drug	Year Approved	Exon Skipped	Pivotal Study	Clinical Outcome
Eteplirsen	2016	51	Open-label, 48 weeks, mean age 9 years	Not reported
Golodirsen	2019	53	Open-label , 168 weeks, median age 8 years	6MWT and FVC% worsened (no control group)
Viltolorsen	2020	53	Open-label, 20 weeks, comparison to historical controls, mean age 7 years	No difference in NSAA and other measures
Casimersen	2021	45	Double-blind, placebo controlled for 96 weeks, 48-week extension, mean age 9 years	Not reported

# Gene Therapy

- Delandistrogene moxeparovec
  - Accelerated FDA Approval for children age 4 and 5 years – 2023
    - Diagnosis after age 5 years, the average age of diagnosis, currently precludes gene therapy
    - Minoritized children have a longer average time to diagnosis, which could lead to disparities in access to gene therapy
  - 3 main studies, including a double-blind placebo-controlled trial
    - The trial had a dosing error, reducing the sample size
    - Mean age 6.3 years (range: 4-7 years)
  - Pooled data
    - Range: 4-5 years
  - Overall, change in North Star Ambulatory Assessment (NSAA) at 48 weeks was not statistically significant
  - Trend at 48 weeks among subjects 4-5 years toward improvement in NSAA
  - NSAA declined in subjects  $\geq 6$  years

# Glucocorticoid Therapy

- Deflazacort
  - FDA Approved in 2017
  - Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial for 12 weeks, with comparator treatment through 52 weeks
    - Age: 5-15 years
    - Improved muscle strength compared to placebo
  - Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial until 104 weeks or loss of ambulation
    - Age 6-12 years
    - Difference in loss of ambulation was 63 months in deflazacort compared with 32 months in the placebo group
- Prednisone
  - Typically started before the plateau phase, around 4-5 years of age, to improve strength and pulmonary function

# Areas of Focus for the Review

- Link between the amount of dystrophin and functional outcomes
- Treatment benefits from presymptomatic identification

# Non-Pharmacologic Interventions

# Benefits to the Individual and the Family

- Still reviewing articles from the search
- Have not identified peer-reviewed published sibling studies
- Three meeting abstracts
  - Contacting authors for additional information
- This is a major focus

# Next Steps

# Summary of Ongoing Activity

- Focus on the impact of presymptomatic identification compared with clinical identification
  - Individual and family benefit
  - Inequities in diagnosis and treatment
  - Understanding the relationship between biomarkers and patient-centered outcomes
- Assessing screening accuracy and outcomes
  - CK-MM screened once or twice
  - Gene sequencing through the newborn screening lab or as part of diagnostic referral
- Understanding perspectives from newborn screening programs
- Modeling expected outcomes from screening all newborns



# Questions