Reviewing the ACHDNC Evidence-review Process: Evaluating Conditions on the RUSP

Presented to the Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children

February 11-12, 2021

Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS

K.K. Lam, PhD

Approach and Timeline through May 2021

Date	Review of the Committee Process Discussions
Feb 2019	Expert Advisory Panel Meeting to review the process
April 2019	Systematic Evidence Review and Population-level Health
July 2019	Public Health Impact Assessment
Oct 2019 – April 2020	Legislative Hiatus
May 2020	Recap of progress
	Considerations regarding values assessment and using this in the recommendation process
August 2020	Assessing values – recommendations, decision-making criteria/matrix/recommendations
December 2020	Decision-making and decision matrix
February 2021	Evaluating conditions on the RUSP
	Nomination Process/Form
May 2021	Overview of process review Summary of recommendations for the future

The Review Process: Four Focus Areas

- 1. Nomination
- 2. Review Process
 - Add on: Assessing Values in the decision-making process
- 3. Decision Matrix
- 4. Review of Conditions on the RUSP

Review of Conditions on the RUSP

Rationale

- Updates in evidence on screening and treatment for core and secondary conditions on the RUSP
 - Focus on
 - New treatments, new clinical recommendations
 - Better of understanding of the conditions during infancy
 - Longer-term follow-up
 - Impact on public health, clinical services, individuals and families
 - Any other unresolved issue during a previous review

Review of Conditions on the RUSP - Con't

Defining the Process

- Frequency
 - Periodic reviews for regular learning opportunities
 - e.g., 3-, 5-, or 10-year updates
 - Ad hoc based informed by changes related to screening or treatment but would need a process to nominate for review
- Principles and review criteria should remain consistent for added RUSP conditions
 - Key questions for evidence reviews can be used, with minor modifications
 - Increased emphasis on implementation

Review of Conditions on the RUSP (con't)

Define purpose and potential recommendations

- Clarify recommendation (e.g., target of screening)
- Inform issues related to newborn screening and care delivery
- Removal not the goal

Questions for the Advisory Committee

- What information would be most important for you to learn about from a review?
- In what ways could a review help guide improvements in the process of newborn screening and follow-up?
- How should conditions be selected for review (e.g., routine process vs. ad hoc)?